

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CLUJ-NAPOCA

ACTA TECHNICA NAPOCENSIS

Series: Applied Mathematics, Mechanics, and Engineering Vol. 64, Issue I, March, 2021

EVALUATION OF WHOLE-BODY VIBRATIONS AND COMFORT STATE OF TRACTOR DRIVER FOR DIFFERENT TYPES OF TERRAIN AND SPEEDS

Teofil-Alin ONCESCU, Alin PETCU, Daniela TARNITA

Abstract: The long exposure of the human body to mechanical vibrations is a risk factor in terms of health and comfort of the driver being influenced by biomechanical variables that determine the intensity of vibration, frequency and amplitude. The aim of this study is to carry out an experimental investigation to assess the comfort state associated with different types of terrain and traffic speeds of a tractor. The levels of vibration produced during the experimental tests are evaluated using 3 tri-axial accelerometers mounted on driver's seat, on back seat at the spine level and on the floor of tractor cab, under the driver's support leg. The collected data are processed with the help of Vats Nex Gen Ergonomics software, and the methods for measuring the whole-body vibration, comfort, accelerometer, tractor, VATS, ISO 2631-1.

1. INTRODUCTION

The vibrations transmitted by the speed, by the geometry and characteristics of the type of road to the driver, through the category of vehicle with predefined technical specifications of the seat and seat back, affect the comfort of driving, and can have a detrimental effect on the health of the driver [1].

Numerous studies on human responses to whole-body vibration have been presented in the literature [1-18], and these objectives range from identifying risk factors that affect vibration discomfort to health problems that occur due to human exposure to vibration [3-5]. The driver of a vehicle is constantly exposed to mechanical vibrations, caused by uneven road surfaces and oscillations of vehicle components. These factors reduce the feeling of comfort and can be quite harmful to the occupant of the vehicle. The human body is a complex mechanical system and more sensitive to certain frequencies of vibrations on surfaces such as floors, chairs, armrests, whose frequency varies from 8 Hz to 1000 Hz, inducing certain movements known as vibration accelerations [6]

The frequency weighting for comfort reactions of the human body in vibration environments can be seen in Table 1.

Comfort reactions to vibration environments [6]			
Wheighted rms acceleration (m/s²)	Subjective response		
Less than 0.315 m/s ²	Comfortable		
$0.315 \text{ m/s}^2 - 0.63 \text{ m/s}^2$	A little uncomfortable		
$0.5 \text{ m/s}^2 - 1 \text{ m/s}^2$	Fairly uncomfortable		
0.8 m/s ² - 1.6 m/s ²	Uncomfortable		
1.25 m/s ² - 2.5 m/s ²	Very uncomfortable		
Greater than 2 m/s ²	Extremely uncomfortable		

Vibrations influence the human body in various ways, because there are frequencies which influence in a negative way different segments of the body. The response to a vibration exposure depends in particular on the frequency, amplitude and duration of exposure [7]. More recent studies have focused on studying the effects of vibration on the driver

Table 1

and on its health when making measurements on different road surfaces [8, 9, 10] at different vehicle speeds [11, 12, 13]. Reference articles describing the exposure of whole body vibrations to mechanical shocks, as well as the evaluation of vibration attenuation using triaxial accelerometers, have been studied in [14, 15, 16].

The purpose of this paper is to study the comfort of the tractor driver caused by the whole-body vibrations developed during four experimental tests, depending on land type and the vehicule speed, quantified by means Root Mean Square weighted accelerations (aRMS).

2.1. The experimental protocol

A healthy male subjects aged 25 years, with height of 1.80 m and body mass 75 kg, participated voluntarily in performing the experimental measurements after signing an informed consent. The experimental tests were performed in the inner courtyard of the Faculty of Agronomy, which has two types of land: straight, asphalted road and arable land. The experimental measurements were made with the traffic speeds: 5 km/h and 10 km/h.

The vehicle used is a medium power tractor, type New Holland TD5010, equipped with a 37.3 kw, (50 hp) diesel engine at 2800 rpm, with direct injection and electric start. The wide range of use of the tractor is ensured by the independent or synchronous power take-off and by the wide range of speeds achieved by the 12- forward and reverse speed gearbox, doubled by means of a reducer. The suspension of the tractor seat is the specified one in the technical data sheet of the tractor, unadjusted, and the position of the driver describes an angle of 90° in relation to the inclination of the seat-back.

The following cases were considered: Case I. 1. Straight road – speed: 5 km/h; Case I. 2. Straight road – speed: 10 km/h; Case II. 1. Arable land – speed: 5 km/h; Case II. 2. Arable land – speed: 10 km/h.



Fig. 1. Carrying out experimental tests on straight terrain and arable ground, according to the experimental protocol, at speeds of 5 and 10 km / h

2.2. Equipment and measurements

The equipment used to measure the vibrations of the entire body of a driver sitting in a seat is Vibrations Analysis Tool Set (VATS), developed by Nex Gen Ergonomics [19], in order to enable researchers to obtain vibration data and compare them to the various standards. VATS software is based on ISO2631-1 which describes procedures for the evaluation of whole-body vibrations. The equipment includes the MWX8 Data LOG device, which is a fully portable, programmable data acquisition unit found in the Biometrics system. Biometrics is a system of wearable sensors, used for the acquisition and processing of biomechanical data [20], in various fields of research, such as: biomechanics, clinical medicine, rehabilitation, sports performance and ergonomics [21-27]. Data LOG collects data from accelerometers with a maximum sampling rate of 20,000Hz / channel, and then the data is downloaded to a computer for data analysis. Data for x-axis (anterior-posterior direction), y-axis (mediallateral direction) and z-axis (vertical direction) of accelerometers are collected simultaneously.



Fig. 2. a) Accelerometer ACL 300, b) Single ACL and mounted on the pad, c) Data log device.

The equipment consists of 3 tri-axial accelerometers ACL 300, type S2A-16G-MF, with 16G precision, 3-axis offset and adjusted sensitivity, incorporated in a flexible disc and mounted in accordance with ISO 2631-1, in regarding the specifications, location and direction of measurement. First accelerometer is mounted on the seat surface between the driver and the seat. The second is mounted in a flexible rubber pad that folds on the seat back and on the

driver's back, while the third is mounted on the floor of the tractor cab under the driver's support leg to allow him to take over all signals produced by the vibrations that occur during the tests, including the tractor's own vibrations.



Fig. 3. Mounting the tri axial accelerometers on the tractor seat surface, on the seat back, respectively on the cab floor at the support leg

3.RESULTS

In accordance with ISO 2631-1 standard, which present methods and values of risk assessment at the level of whole body vibrations, we will make an analysis of the tractor driver by means the whole body vibrations developed during the four tests. Root Mean Square weighted accelerations (aRMS) values are a static measure of the magnitude of a weighted signal transmitted by the vibration produced during each set of experimental tests.



Fig. 4. Raw data and aRMS collected on straight road by the ACL mounted on the floor surface at the feet level; a) speed 5 km / h; b) speed 10 km / h

Vibration analysis on the human driver who performs a series of experimental tests described above and uses special sensors to measure the vibrations of the human body, is materialized by the detailed study on the impact of the health of the human body exposed to vibrations under certain working conditions. The conclusions will be drawn from the processing of all experimental data collected from the three sensors using VATS software, based on the ISO 2631 standard.

In Figures 5, 6 and 7, the row data collected by the three ACL mounted on seat surface, seat back and cab floor, as well as the corresponding graphs of aRMS on z axis for comfort zone, on arable land for a tractor speed equal to 5 km/h are presented, while in Table 2 the aRMS and peaks values are shown.

Similar diagrams, graphs and tables of aRMS values and peaks are obtained for all experimental tests.



Fig. 5. a) Row data collected by ACL 1 (seat surface) and b) the graph of aRMS, on Z axis for comfort zone on arable land for a tractor speed of 5 km / h



Fig. 6. a)Row data collected by ACL 2 (seat back) and
b) the graph of aRMS, on Z axis for comfort zone; for arable land and tractor speed of 5 km / h.





Fig. 7. Row data collected by ACL 3 (feet) and b) the graph of aRMS, on Z axis for comfort zone for arable land and tractor speed of 5 km / h.

Values of aRMSand peaks of vibration								
Cases	Position accelerome ter	Axis	aRMS [m/s ²]	Peak [m/s ²]				
		X-axis	0,1374	0,8823				
	Seat	Y-axis	0,1728	0,7071				
	surface	Z-axis	0,2098	0,9797				
		Sum	0.3046	1.4960				
Case I. 1.		X-axis	0,2262	1,0691				
Straight	Seat back	Y-axis	0,1925	0,8530				
road at a speed of 5		Z-axis	0,2349	1,3038				
km / h		Sum	0.2255	1.0888				
		X-axis	0,2543	0,9769				
	A 4 4ho Foot	Y-axis	0,4689	1,3926				
	At the reei	Z-axis	0,5303	1,7332				
		Sum	0.2506	0.8133				
		X-axis	0,2016	0,9238				
	Seat	Y-axis	0,2106	0,9048				
	surface	Z-axis	0,5385	2,3828				
		Sum	0.6123	2.7110				
Case I. 2.		X-axis	0,4329	3,0041				
Straight	Seet healt	Y-axis	0,2695	1,3271				
road at a speed of 10	Seat Dack	Z-axis	0,3499	2,3031				
km / h	<u></u>	Sum	0.3971	2.6580				
		X-axis	0,3029	1,4269				
	At the Feet	Y-axis	0,3580	1,9720				
		Z-axis	0,4421	2,0052				
		Sum	0.2602	1.0061				
	Seat surface	X-axis	0,3452	1,1875				
		Y-axis	0,4780	1,6106				
		Z-axis	0,2549	0,9463				
		Sum	0.6424	2.2135				
Case II. 1.	Seat back	X-axis	0,4763	2,2497				
Arable land at a		Y-axis	0,6381	2,4260				
speed of 5		Z-axis	0,2904	0,9690				
km / h		Sum	0.5104	2.2047				
		X-axis	0,3548	1,6500				
	At the Feet	Y-axis	0,3863	1,4003				
		Z-axis	0,5623	3,5068				
		Sum	0.2604	1.5034				
		X-axis	0,7766	2,5857				
	Seat surface	Y-axis	0,8142	2,7278				
		Z-axis	0,9719	6,9437				
Case II. 2.		Sum	1,4868	78956				
land at a		X-axis	1,0141	4,1573				
speed of 10	Seat back	Y-axis	1,1418	,3,9397				
km / h		Z-axis	0,9852	5,6388				
	At the Feet	X-axis	0,4684	2,0767				
		Y-axis	0,5838	2,0967				
		Z-axis	1.2325	5.0150				

Table 2

Sum 0.5275 2,1574

4.DISCUSSIONS

The present study is used to analyze the wholebody vibrations affecting drivers on a tractor traveling with two different speeds on two different road surfaces. Based on the processed experimental data collected during the four tests, the aRMS and the peak values corresponding to the three accelerometers and to the three axes of coordinates for each accelerometer, the comfort of tractor driver can be studied.

Following the analysis of the graphs obtained, in relation to the weighting of the frequency for the comfort reactions of the human body in the vibration environments presented in Table 1, for experimental tests performed on a straight road, for the first case, the driver's comfort reaction is comfortable, and for the second case, the value of aRMS acceleration increases and frames the comfort reaction as uncomfortable. For experimental tests performed on arable land, depending on the increase in traffic speed, the driver's comfort reaction becomes uncomfortable, so that the aRMS acceleration values and the frequency weight increase significantly, so the driver is significantly exposed to a high level of vibration presenting a risk of health and safety.

Based on the results in Table 2, comparing the aRMS values recorded on each axis of the accelerometers mounted on the seat, back and leg, for each case studied, it can be stated that:

For case I.1 (straight road; speed = 5 km/h) it is found that maximum value of aRMS is recorded for seat surface and feet on Z axis: 0.2098 m/s², respectively 0.5303 m/s², while for seat back, is recorded on Y axis 0.1925 m/s².

For case I.2 (straight road; speed = 10 km/h) maximum value of aRMS is recorded for the seat surface and feet on Z axis: 0.5383 m/s², respectively 0.4421 m/s², while for seat back, is recorded on X axis 0.4329 m/s².

For case II.1 (arable land; speed = 5 km/h) maximum value of the RMS is recorded for seat surface and feet on Z axis: 0.4780 m/s^2 , respectively 0.5623 m/s^2 , while for seat back, is recorded on Y axis 0.6381 m/s^2 .

For case II.2 (arable land; speed = 10 km/ h) it is found that maximum value of RMS is recorded for seat surface and feet on Z axis: 0.9719 m/s², respectively 1.2325 m/s², while for seat back, is recorded on Y axis 1.1418 m/s².

The values representing the sums of aRMS (Sum) increase with increasing speed on the two types of road, for each of the three accelerometers.

For the speed of 5 km / h, Sum aRMS values recorded by the accelerometers for the seat, back and feet accelerometers, respectively 0.3046 m/s², 0.2255 m/s², 0.2506 m/s² are lower than the Sum aRMS values for the speed of 10 km/h, 0.6123 m/s², 0.3971 m/s², 0.2602 m/s², for the straight road.

For arable land, for speeds of 5 km/h, Sum aRMS values recorded by the seat, back and feet accelerometers, 0.6424 m/s^2 , 0.5104 m/s^2 and 0.2604 m/s^2 , respectively, are lower than Sum aRMS values for speed of 10 km / h, and anme, 1.4868 m/s^2 , 2.3540 m/s^2 and, respectively, 0.5273 m/s^2 .

For speeds of 5 km/h, the values of Sum aRMS on the straight road, recorded by the accelerometers for seat surface, 0.3046 m/^2 , for seat back, 0.6123 m/s^2 and for the feet, 0.2255 m/s^2 , are lower than those recorded in the field arable, namely, respectively, 0.6424 m/s^2 , 0.5104 m/s^2 , 0.2604 m/s^2 .

For the speed of 10 km / h, the values of Sum aRMS on straight road, recorded by the accelerometers for seat, back and feet, respectively, 0.6123 m/s^2 , 0.3971 m/s^2 , 0.2122 m/s^2 , on straight road are lower than the values amount of aRMS on arable land 1.4868 m s², 2.3540 m/s², 0.5273 m/s².

In conclusion, on arable land the values of aRMS are higher than the values recorded on the straight road.

According to table 1 regarding the comfort state of the human body subjected to vibrations on bth categories of roads and both speeds analyzed, for the 3 sensors mounted on seat surface, seat back and feet, the following situations are presented in Table 3:

Table 3 The comfort state of tractor driver subjected to vibrations

Type of road	Position of accelero meter	Speed [km/h]	Condition	Descript ion
Straight road	Seat Surface	5 km/h	Less than 0.315 m/s ²	Comfort able
		10 km/h	$\begin{array}{c} 0.315 \ m/s^2 \\ -\ 0.63 \ m/s^2 \end{array}$	A little uncomfo rtable
	Seat Back	5 km/h	Less than 0.315 m/s ²	Comfort able
		10 km/h	0.315 m/s ² - 0.63 m/s ²	A little uncomfo rtable
	Feet	5 km/h	$\begin{array}{c} 0.315 \ m/s^2 \\ -\ 0.63 \ m/s^2 \end{array}$	A little uncomfo rtable
		10 km/h	0.315 m/s ² - 0.63 m/s ²	A little uncomfo rtable
Arable land	Seat Surface	5 km/h	0.315 m/s ² - 0.63 m/s ²	A little uncomfo rtable
		10 km/h	$0.5 \text{ m/s}^2 - 1 \text{ m/s}^2$	Fairly uncomfo rtable
	Seat Back	5 km/h	0.315 m/s ² - 0.63 m/s ²	A little uncomfo rtable
		10 km/h	0.8 m/s ² – 1.6 m/s ²	Uncomfo rtable
	Feet	5 km/h	0.315 m/s ² - 0.63 m/s ²	A little uncomfo rtable
		10 km/h	$0.5 m/s^2 - 1 m/s^2$	Fairly uncomfo rtable

5.CONCLUSION

Evaluation of human responses to whole-body vibration was obtained by direct measurements at the tractor seat under real operating conditions according to the experimental protocol and the standard method of vibration collection (ISO Standard 2631-1). The raw data were then applied to the Vibration Analysis Toolkit (VATS) and analyzed according to the vibration standard. Vibration data were analyzed for comfort at the seat surface and health precaution area for each participant in the experimental tests. The results of the analysis presented in this article, concerning human responses and comfort state to whole-body vibration, allow to take into account the effects that occur while driving the tractor on different types of terrain and different traffic speeds. The effects of Root-Mean-Square vibration acceleration values (aRMS) presented in the experimental cases is considerable for the evolution of the driver's state of health in terms of values achieved in the normal operating mode of the tractor on arable land, as opposed to straight terrain; this significant increase in vibration intensity depending on the increasing traffic speed.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Project no. 546/2020, code PN-III-P2-2.1-PED-2019-3022, entitled "Innovative modular robotic system for medical recovery of brachial monoparesis - NeuroAssist" financed by UEFISCDI.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Griffin, M.J., *Handbook of Human Vibration*, Academic Press, London, 1990.
- [2] Nawayseh, N., Griffin, M.J., Power absorbed during whole-body fore-andaft vibration: effects of sitting posture, backrest, and footrest, J. Sound Vib. 331, 252-262, 2012.
- [3] Basri, B., Griffin, M.J., Equivalent comfort contours for vertical seat vibration: effect of vibration magnitude and backrest inclination, Ergonomics 55 (8), pp. 909-922, 2012.
- [4] Basri, B., Griffin, M.J., Predicting discomfort from whole-body vertical vibra tion when sitting with an inclined backrest, Appl. Ergon. 44 (3), pp. 423-434, 2013.
- [5] Gallais, L., Low Back Pain and Risk Factors for Low Back Pain in Car Drivers, University of Southampton, Institute of Sound and Vibration Research, Doctoral Thesis, 2008.
- [6]International Organization for Standardization, 1997. International Standard 2631 1: 1997 Mechanical

Vibration and Shock-evaluation of Human Exposure to Whole-Body Vibration. Part 1: General Requirements,.

- [7] Kumar, A., Mahajan P., Mohan D., Varghese M., *Tractor Vibration Severity* and Driver Health: a Study from Rural India, J. agric. Engng Res., 80 (4), pp. 313-328, 2001.
- [8] Aisyah, S., Adam and Nawal A., Jalil A., Vertical Suspension Seat Transmissibility and SEAT Values for Seated Person Exposed to Whole-body Vibration in Agricultural Tractor
- Preliminary Study, Procedia Engineering 170, pp. 435 442, 2017.
- [9] Kabir, S. H., Chung, S. O., et al. Measurement and evaluation of whole body vibration of agricultural tractor operator, Int J Agric & Biol Eng, 10 (1), pp. 248-255, 2017.
- [10] Velmurugan, P., et al., Influence of road surfaces on whole body vibration for suspended cabin tractor semitrailer drivers, Journal of low frequency noise, vibration and active control, pp. 75-84, 2012.
- [11] Eger, T. R., et al., Influence of Driving Speed, Terrain, Seat Performance and Ride Control on Predicted Health Risk Based on ISO 2631-1 and EU Directive 2002/44/EC, Journal of low frequency noise, vibration and active control, pp. 291-312, 2011.
- [12] Loutridis, S., Gialamas, Th., et al., A study on the effect of electronic engine speed regulator on agricultural tractor ride vibration behavior, Journal of Terramechanics, 48, pp. 139-147, 2011.
- [13] Wijaya, A. R., Jönsson, P., Johansson, O., *The Effect of Seat Design on Vibration Comfort*, International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics, 9(2), pp. 193-210, 2003.
- [14] Cutini, M., Brambilla, M., Bisaglia, C., Whole-Body Vibration in Farming: Background Document for Creating a Simplified Procedure to Determine Agricultural Tractor Vibration Comfort, Agriculture, 2017.

- [15] Mansfield, N., J., Atkinson, S., Payne, G., Effect of increasing measurement duration on 'accuracy' of whole-body vibration field measurements, 38th United Kingdom Conference on Human Response to Vibration, Institute of Naval Medicine, Alverstoke, Gosport, England, 17 -19 September 2003.
- [16] Oncescu, T.A., Petcu, A. I., *Experimental* study of the influence of road surface and car speed on the whole body vibrations, Proceedings 1st International Conference on Advanced Research in Engineering, 1, pp. 115-120 Craiova, 2020.
- [17] Velmurugan, P., Kumaraswamidhas, L.A., Sankaranarayanasamy, K., Influence of road surfaces on whole body vibration for suspended cabin tractor semitrailer drivers, Journal of low frequency noise, Vibration and Active Control, 31 (2), pp.75–84, 2012
- [18] Nawayseh, N., Effect of the seating condition on the transmission of vibration through the seat pan and backrest, International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 45, pp. 82-90, 2015.
- [19] VATSTM (Vibration Analysis Tool Set), http://www.nexgenergo.com/ergonomic s/vats.html
- [20] User Manual, *Biometrics Ltd*, http://www.biometricsltd.com
- [21] Tarnita, D., Wearable sensors used for human gait analysis, Rom J Morphol Embryol, 57(2), pp 373-382, 2016.
- [22] Tarnita, D., Pisla, D., Geonea, I., et al., Static and Dynamic Analysis of Osteoarthritic and Orthotic Human Knee, J Bionic Eng, 16, pp. 514-525, 2019.
- [23] Gherman, B., Birlescu, I., Plitea, N., Carbone, G., Tarnita, D., Pisla, D., On the singularity-free workspace of a parallel robot for lower-limb rehabilitation, Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, 20(4), pp. 383-391, 2019.
- [24] Tarnita, D., Catana, M., Dumitru, N., Tarnita, D.N., *Design and Simulation of an Orthotic Device for Patients with Osteoarthritis*. New Trends in Medical

and Service Robots, Springer Publishing House, pp. 61-77, 2016.

- [25] Vaida, C., Birlescu, I., Pisla, A., Ulinici, I., Tarnita, D., Carbone, G., Pisla, D., Systematic Design of a Parallel Robotic System for Lower Limb Rehabilitation, IEEE Access, 8, pp. 34522-34537, 2020.
- [26] Tarnita, D., et al., Applications of Nonlinear Dynamics to Human knee movement on Plane & Inclined Treadmill., New Trends in Medical

&Service Robots, Mechanisms and Machine Science, 39, pp. 59-73, 2016.

[27] Tarnita, D., Marghitu, D.B., Nonlinear dynamics of normal and osteoarthritic human knee, Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, series A, 18(4), pp. 353-360, 2017.

EVALUAREA VIBRAȚIILOR INTERIORE ALE CORPULUI ȘI A CONFORTULUI CONDUCĂTORULUI DE TRACTOR PENTRU DIFERITE TIPURI DE TEREN ȘI VITEZE

Rezumat: Expunerea îndelungată a corpului uman la vibrațiile mecanice este un factor de risc în ceea ce privește sănătatea și confortul șoferului, fiind influențat de variabile biomecanice care determină intensitatea vibrațiilor, frecvenței și amplitudinii. Scopul acestui studiu este de a efectua o investigație experimentală pentru a evalua starea de confort asociată cu diferite tipuri de teren și viteza de trafic a unui tractor. Nivelurile de vibrații produse în timpul testelor experimentale sunt evaluate folosind 3 accelerometre tri-axiale montate pe scaunul șoferului, pe bancheta din spate la nivelul coloanei vertebrale și pe podeaua cabinei tractorului, sub piciorul de sprijin al șoferului. Datele colectate sunt prelucrate cu ajutorul software-ului Vats Nex Gen Ergonomics, iar metodele de măsurare a vibrațiilor întregului corp se bazează pe standardul internațional ISO 2631-1.

Teofil - Alin ONCESCU, PhD student, University of Craiova, Faculty of Mechanics, Calea Bucuresti street, 107, Craiova, Romania, <u>alin.oncescu93@gmail.com</u>, Office Phone: +40 251 543 739.
 Alin PETCU, Alin PETCU, PhD, University of Craiova, Faculty of Mechanics, Calea Bucuresti street, 107, Craiova, Romania, petcu.alin.i@gmail.com, Office Phone: +40 251 543 739

Daniela TARNIȚĂ, PhD Professor, University of Craiova, Faculty of Mechanics, Calea Bucuresti street, 107, Craiova, Romania, tarnita.daniela@gmail.com, Office Phone: +40 251 543 739.